Solutions Manual for Principles of Business Forecasting 1st Edition by Ord

Full Download: http://downloadlink.org/product/solutions-manual-for-principles-of-business-forecasting-1st-edition-by-ord/

Chapter 2 • Basic Tools for Forecasting Outline Solutions

2.1

Time series plot for temperature



Seasonal plot: clear and stable seasonal pattern



(a) Scatter plot for injuries versus train miles



[©] Cengage Learning 2013

(b) Time plots for Injuries, Train Miles and Injuries per 100 million train miles







© Cengage Learning 2013

(c) The level of injuries fluctuates but shows an upward trend over time.

2.3

Returns	Returns with outlier				
Mean	7.48	Mean	-3.08		
Standard Error	3.32	Standard Error	12.46		
Median	6.20	Median	6.20		
Mode	#N/A	Mode	#N/A		
Standard Deviation	9.96	Standard Deviation	37.39		
Sample Variance	99.19	Sample Variance	1398.31		
Kurtosis	-0.20	Kurtosis	7.65		
Skewness	0.58	Skewness	-2.68		
Range	30.40	Range	125.40		
Minimum	-5.00	Minimum	-100.00		
Maximum	25.40	Maximum	25.40		
Sum	67.30	Sum	-27.70		
Count	9.00	Count	9.00		

The standard Excel output shows that the median is unchanged but the mean is much smaller and the standard deviation much larger. A few outliers can greatly distort the analysis.

The MAD is 7.6 without the outlier and 21.7 with the outlier.

Month	Ν	Mean	Std De	v Media	an MAD	
JAN	1	.8 3	34.0	3.8	35.0	3.06
FEB	1	.8 3	36.0	3.6	36.1	2.99
MAR	1	.8 4	42.5	3.1	42.6	2.35
APR	1	.8 4	48.8	3.1	48.2	2.49
MAY	1	.8 5	58.0	2.3	58.1	1.58
JUN	1	.8 6	56.1	2.9	66.3	2.36
JUL	1	.8 7	72.8	2.6	73.2	2.24
AUG	1	.8 7	70.3	2.3	70.2	1.88
SEP	1	.8 6	52.6	2.7	63.0	2.30
OCT	1	.7 5	51.9	2.6	51.9	1.91
NOV	1	.7 4	40.4	4.6	40.3	3.75
DEC	1	.7 3	34.5	2.4	35.3	1.99

2.4 Means and medians are close suggesting a symmetric pattern. November and the winter months are somewhat more variable.

2.5

The standard summary statistics are given in the table. To compute the MAD in each case, calculate the absolute values of the deviations about the mean and compute their average.

82.2	630.3	757 /
82.2	630.3	757 /
1 Q		757.4
1.0	40.3	34.4
80.4	587.0	757.0
74.0	#N/A	#N/A
7.5	171.1	146.1
55.5	29280.2	21331.3
-1.6	-0.7	-0.3
0.2	0.5	0.4
22.8	556.0	523.9
72.0	382.0	516.2
94.8	938.0	1040.1
1478.8	11345.0	13632.7
18.0	18.0	18.0
	80.4 74.0 7.5 55.5 -1.6 0.2 22.8 72.0 94.8 1478.8 1478.8 18.0	80.4 587.0 74.0 #N/A 7.5 171.1 55.5 29280.2 -1.6 -0.7 0.2 0.5 22.8 556.0 72.0 382.0 94.8 938.0 1478.8 11345.0 18.0 18.0

Variable	Train-miles	Injuries	Injuries per T-M
MAD	6.7	142.0	110.4

Since the variables display a rising trend, the calculation of these averages is not particularly informative.

The correlation between the 2002 and 2003 figures is 0.955. The associated P-value is 0.000 to 3 decimal places.

2.7

The three sets of results are given below. The variation among the first 50 values is much greater than the second 50, so that the results for the first 50 and for all 100 are very similar. The high negative correlation between rank and return on capital (ROC) simply reflects the fact that the rank ordering placed heavy weight on ROC.

	Return on			
All 100	Rank	Capital	P-E Ratio	
Rank	1.00			
Return on Capital	-0.65	1.00		
P-E Ratio	-0.27	0.31	1.00	
		Return on		
First 50	Rank	Capital	P-E Ratio	
Rank	1.00			
Return on Capital	-0.66	1.00		
P-E Ratio	-0.24	0.27	1.00	
		Return on		
Second 50	Rank	Capital	P-E Ratio	
Column 1	1.00			
Column 2	-0.19	1.00		
Column 3	0.12	-0.14	1.00	

2.8

a. The mean and median are not useful, given the strong trend in the data.

b. There is a clear slowing of percentage growth over time



[©] Cengage Learning 2013

The use of the means produces apparently better results, but it should be recognized that the means include the observations actually being forecast. Only prior information should be used. As expected, one-month-ahead forecasts are not useful in this case.

	12 month		1-step
	errors	Means errors	errors
ME	0.02	0.03	0.13
MAE	3.45	2.45	6.93
RMSE	4.45	3.03	8.23
MASE	0.50	0.35	1.00

2.10

The mean is around 36 degrees and the standard deviation is 11 degrees. With 18 observations, the 95% t-value from tables is 2.11 so the prediction interval is $36.11\pm2.11*11.02=36.11\pm23.25=[12.9,59.4]$. In other words, be prepared for almost any kind of weather. Clearly much better forecasts are possible closer to the event!!



© Cengage Learning 2013

Temperature			
Mean	36.11		
Standard Error	2.60		
Median	36.00		
Mode	36.00		
Standard Deviation	11.02		
Sample Variance	121.40		
Kurtosis	1.98		
Skewness	-0.76		
Range	48.00		
Minimum	7.00		
Maximum	55.00		
Sum	650.00		
Count	18.00		

2.11

The prediction limits are $\pm 1.96*4.445$ or ± 8.71 . Out of 201 monthly values 4 are below the lower limit and 2 are above the upper limit, making just under 3 percent overall.

2.12

There are two values below the lower limit and none above, possibly suggesting either milder weather or a cost-saving effort by the home-owner.

						Below Lower	Above Upper
Period	Actual	Forecast	Error L	ower PI	Upper PI	Limit	Limit
Jan-03	790	820	-30	473	1167	0	0
Feb-03	810	790	20	443	1137	0	0
Mar-03	680	720	-40	373	1067	0	0
Apr-03	500	640	-140	293	987	0	0
May-03	520	780	-260	433	1127	0	0
Jun-03	810	980	-170	633	1327	0	0
Jul-03	1120	1550	-430	1203	1897	1	0
Aug-03	1840	1850	-10	1503	2197	0	0
Sep-03	1600	1880	-280	1533	2227	0	0
Oct-03	1250	1600	-350	1253	1947	1	0
Nov-03	740	890	-150	543	1237	0	0
Dec-03	610	690	-80	343	1037	0	0
				(Counts	2	0

Let S = sales and I = inventory. Then the cost will be:

Cost =
$$C*(S-I)$$
, if $S > I$
= $(I-S)$, if $I > S$
=0, if $I=S$

Given the probabilities, we can compute the expected cost for given values of C and I. The solution may be determined numerically by various means. As expected, when C=1, the optimal level of inventory to hold is I=100 and the total expected cost is 5.24. When C=3, the optimal level of inventory to hold is I=105 and the total expected cost is 7.86.

Minicases

The aim is to be creative – in all the minicases. These summary results are designed to provide basic information and not a complete solution.

Minicase 2.1: Baseball Salaries



© Cengage Learning 2013

Descriptive Statistics: Salary (\$000s)

Variable	Ν	Mean	StDev	Minimum	Q1	Median	Q3	Maximum
Salary (\$000s)	176	497.5	372.0	62.5	156.3	417.5	768.8	1850.0

Use results to discuss shape of distribution, etc.

The matrix plot shows that there is a lot of variation even after allowing for the number of years played. Note the decline in salaries in later years.

When the long serving pitchers are removed the positive relationship between years and salary becomes clearer (higher correlation). Correlations that change substantially are highlighted.



© Cengage Learning 2013



Correlations: Salary (\$000, Years in Maj, Career ERA, Innings Pitc, ...

Years in Majors	Salary (\$000s) <mark>0.535</mark> 0.000	Years in Majors	Career ERA
Career ERA	-0.337 0.000	-0.224 0.003	
Innings Pitched	0.265	0.107	0.087
	0.000	0.159	0.250
Career Wins	<mark>0.508</mark>	0.894	-0.213
	0.000	0.000	0.004
Career Losses	<mark>0.493</mark>	0.914	-0.138
	0.000	0.000	0.068
Career Wins	Innings Pitched 0.328	Career Wins	

	0.000	
Career Losses	0.300	0.972
	0.000	0.000

Cell Contents: Pearson correlation P-Value

Correlations: Salary_sorte, years_sorted, ERA_sorted, IP_sorted, CW_sorted, ...

years_sorted	Salary_sorted <mark>0.723</mark> 0.000	years_sorted	ERA_sorted	IP_sorted
ERA_sorted	-0.314 0.000	-0.132 0.100		
IP_sorted	0.272 0.001	0.086 0.287	0.101 0.212	
CW_sorted	<mark>0.824</mark> 0.000	0.827 0.000	-0.139 0.083	0.417 0.000
CL_sorted	<mark>0.718</mark> 0.000	0.854 0.000	-0.001 0.988	0.347 0.000

	CW sorted
CL sorted	0.931
-	0.000

Cell Contents: Pearson correlation P-Value

Minicase 2.2: Whither Walmart?

The plot shows clearly the movement towards Super Stores and away from the regular stores; some were doubtless conversions. The Sam's Club count is stable over the first half of the period but shows a steady growth in later years. These trends are likely to persist in later years.



[©] Cengage Learning 2013

As for many other companies, Walmart experienced a slowdown in growth as the result of the "Great Recession".



Full Download Matthes/Pelawinkandellinksongeproduct/Sadutionswimanual-for-principles-of-business-forecasting-1st-edition-by-ord/

Minicase 2.3: Economic Recessions

Descriptive Statistics: Duration, Gap

Variable	Ν	Mean	SE Mean	StDev	Minimum	Median	Maximum
Duration	14	13.36	2.49	9.31	6.00	10.50	43.00
Gap	13	59.38	9.05	32.63	12.00	50.00	120.00

One-Sample T: Duration, Gap

Variable	Ν	Mean	StDev	SE Mean	95%	CI
Duration	14	13.36	9.31	2.49	(7.98,	18.73)
Gap	13	59.38	32.63	9.05	(39.66,	79.10)

The length of the Great Depression from 1929 on greatly affects the analysis. Discuss the impact of omitting this observation. It is clear from the data that the "business cycle" can vary considerably in length, a point worth making in anticipation of the discussion of seasonality later.

There is essentially no evidence that the length of a growth period is related to the length of a recession either preceding or following it.

Correlations: Gap, Duration _after, Duration_before

Duration _after	Gap -0.321 0.286	Duration _after
Duration_before	0.016 0.960	-0.040 0.896

Cell Contents: Pearson correlation P-Value