Chapter 2 Job-Order Costing

Solutions to Questions

- **2-1** By definition, manufacturing overhead consists of costs that cannot be practically traced to jobs. Therefore, if these costs are to be assigned to jobs, they must be allocated rather than traced.
- **2-2** The first step is to estimate the total amount of the allocation base (the denominator) that will be required for next period's estimated level of production. The second step is to estimate the total fixed manufacturing overhead cost for the coming period and the variable manufacturing overhead cost per unit of the allocation base. The third step is to use the cost formula Y = a + bX to estimate the total manufacturing overhead cost (the numerator) for the coming period. The fourth step is to compute the predetermined overhead rate.
- **2-3** The job cost sheet is used to record all costs that are assigned to a particular job. These costs include direct materials costs traced to the job, direct labor costs traced to the job, and manufacturing overhead costs applied to the job. When a job is completed, the job cost sheet is used to compute the unit product cost.
- **2-4** Some production costs such as a factory manager's salary cannot be traced to a particular product or job, but rather are incurred as a result of overall production activities. In addition, some production costs such as indirect materials cannot be easily traced to jobs. If these costs are to be assigned to products, they must be allocated to the products.
- **2-5** If actual manufacturing overhead cost is applied to jobs, the company must wait until the end of the accounting period to apply overhead and to cost jobs. If the company computes actual overhead rates more frequently to get around this problem, the rates may fluctuate widely due to seasonal factors or variations in output. For this

- reason, most companies use predetermined overhead rates to apply manufacturing overhead costs to jobs.
- **2-6** The measure of activity used as the allocation base should drive the overhead cost; that is, the allocation base should cause the overhead cost. If the allocation base does not really cause the overhead, then costs will be incorrectly attributed to products and jobs and product costs will be distorted.
- **2-7** Assigning manufacturing overhead costs to jobs does not ensure a profit. The units produced may not be sold and if they are sold, they may not be sold at prices sufficient to cover all costs. It is a myth that assigning costs to products or jobs ensures that those costs will be recovered. Costs are recovered only by selling to customers—not by allocating costs.
- **2-8** The Manufacturing Overhead account is credited when overhead cost is applied to Work in Process. Generally, the amount of overhead applied will not be the same as the amount of actual cost incurred because the predetermined overhead rate is based on estimates.
- **2-9** Underapplied overhead occurs when the actual overhead cost exceeds the amount of overhead cost applied to Work in Process inventory during the period. Overapplied overhead occurs when the actual overhead cost is less than the amount of overhead cost applied to Work in Process inventory during the period. Underapplied or overapplied overhead is disposed of by closing out the amount to Cost of Goods Sold. The adjustment for underapplied overhead increases Cost of Goods Sold whereas the adjustment for overapplied overhead decreases Cost of Goods Sold.
- © The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

- **2-10** Manufacturing overhead may be underapplied for several reasons. Control over overhead spending may be poor. Or, some of the overhead may be fixed and the actual amount of the allocation base may be less than estimated at the beginning of the period. In this situation, the amount of overhead applied to inventory will be less than the actual overhead cost incurred.
- **2-11** Underapplied overhead implies that not enough overhead was assigned to jobs during the period and therefore cost of goods sold was understated. Therefore, underapplied overhead is added to cost of goods sold. On the other hand, overapplied overhead is deducted from cost of goods sold.
- **2-12** A plantwide overhead rate is a single overhead rate used throughout a plant. In a

- multiple overhead rate system, each production department may have its own predetermined overhead rate and its own allocation base. Some companies use multiple overhead rates rather than plantwide rates to more appropriately allocate overhead costs among products. Multiple overhead rates should be used, for example, in situations where one department is machine intensive and another department is labor intensive.
- **2-13** When automated equipment replaces direct labor, overhead increases and direct labor decreases. This results in an increase in the predetermined overhead rate—particularly if it is based on direct labor.

1. The estimated total manufacturing overhead cost is computed as follows:

Estimated fixed manufacturing overhead	\$10,000
Estimated variable manufacturing overhead:	
\$1.00 per DLH × 2,000 DLHs	2,000
Estimated total manufacturing overhead cost	\$12,000

The predetermined overhead rate is computed as follows:

Estimated total manufacturing overhead (a)	\$12,000	
Estimated total direct labor hours (DLHs) (b).	2,000	DLHs
Predetermined overhead rate (a) ÷ (b)	\$6.00	per DLH

2. The manufacturing overhead applied to Jobs P and Q is computed as follows:

	Job P	Job Q
Actual direct labor hours worked (a)	1,400	500
Predetermined overhead rate per DLH (b)	\$6.00	\$6.00
Manufacturing overhead applied (a) \times (b)	\$8,400	\$3,000

3. The direct labor hourly wage rate can be computed by focusing on either Job P or Job Q as follows:

	Job P	Job Q
Direct labor cost (a)	\$21,000	\$7,500
Actual direct labor hours worked (b)	1,400	500
Direct labor hourly wage rate (a) ÷ (b)	\$15.00	\$15.00

4. Job P's unit product cost and Job Q's assigned manufacturing costs are computed as follows:

Total manufacturing cost assigned to Job P:

Direct materials	\$13,000
Direct labor	21,000
Manufacturing overhead applied	
(\$6 per DLH \times 1,400 DLHs)	<u>8,400</u>
Total manufacturing cost	<u>\$42,400</u>

Unit product cost for Job P:

Total manufacturing cost (a)	\$42,400
Number of units in the job (b)	20
Unit product cost (a) \div (b)	\$2,120

Total manufacturing cost assigned to Job Q:

Direct materials	\$	8,000
Direct labor		7,500
Manufacturing overhead applied		•
(\$6 per DLH × 500 DLHs)		3,000
Total manufacturing cost	\$:	18,500

21,000

5. The journal entries are recorded as follows:

Raw Materials Accounts Payable	•	22,000
Work in Process	21,000	

Raw Materials.....

6. The journal entry is recorded as follows:

Work in Process 2	28,500	
Wages Payable		28,500

7. The journal entry is recorded as follows:

8. The Schedule of Cost of Goods Manufactured is as follows:

Direct materials:

Add: Purchases of raw materials	
Deduct: Raw materials inventory, ending 1,000 Raw materials used in production	
Raw materials used in production	
Direct labor	
Manufacturing overhead applied to work in process inventory	
process inventory 11,4	500
	400
Total manufacturing costs	900
Add: Beginning work in process inventory	0
$\overline{60}$	900
Deduct: Ending work in process inventory 18,5	500
Cost of goods manufactured	400

9. The journal entry is recorded as follows:

10. The completed T-account is as follows:

Work in Process				
Beg. Bal.	0			
(a)	21,000			
(b)	21,000 28,500 11,400			
(c)	11,400	(d)	42,400	
End. Bal.	18,500			

[©] The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

11. The Schedule of Cost of Goods Sold is as follows:

Finished goods inventory, beginning	\$	0
Add: Cost of goods manufactured	42,4	<u>100</u>
Cost of goods available for sale	42,4	100
Deduct: Finished goods inventory, ending		0
Unadjusted cost of goods sold	\$42,4	100

12. The journal entry is recorded as follows:

Cost of Goods Sold	42,400	
Finished Goods	1	42,400

13. The amount of underapplied overhead is computed as follows:

Actual direct labor-hours (a)	1,900
Predetermined overhead rate (b)	\$6.00
Manufacturing overhead applied (a) \times (b)	\$11,400
Actual manufacturing overhead	\$12,500
Deduct: Manufacturing overhead applied	11,400
Underapplied overhead	\$ 1,100

14. The journal entry is recorded as follows:

Cost of Goods Sold	1,100	
Manufacturing Overhead		1,100

15. The income statement is as follows:

Sales	\$60,000
Cost of goods sold (\$42,400 + \$1,100)	43,500
Gross margin	16,500
Selling and administrative expenses	14,000
Net operating income	\$ 2,500

[©] The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

Exercise 2-1 (10 minutes)

The estimated total manufacturing overhead cost is computed as follows:

Y = \$466,000 + (\$3.00 per DLH)(40,000 DLHs)

Estimated fixed manufacturing overhead	\$466,000
Estimated variable manufacturing overhead:	
\$3.00 per DLH × 40,000 DLHs	120,000
Estimated total manufacturing overhead cost	\$586,000

The predetermined overhead rate is computed as follows:

Estimated total manufacturing overhead (a)..... \$586,000 Estimated total direct labor hours (DLHs) (b) ... 40,000 DLHs Predetermined overhead rate (a) ÷ (b)............ \$14.65 per DLH

Exercise 2-2 (10 minutes)

Actual direct labor-hours (a)	12,600
Predetermined overhead rate (b)	\$23.10
Manufacturing overhead applied (a) \times (b)	\$291,060

Exercise 2-3 (10 minutes)

1.	Total direct labor-hours required for Job	A-200:
	Direct labor cost (a) Direct labor wage rate per hour (b) Total direct labor hours (a) ÷ (b)	\$120 \$12 10
	Total manufacturing cost assigned to Job	A-200:
	Direct materials Direct labor Manufacturing overhead applied (\$18 per DLH × 10 DLHs) Total manufacturing cost	\$200 120 <u>180</u> <u>\$500</u>
2.	Unit product cost for Job A-200:	
	Total manufacturing cost (a) Number of units in the job (b) Unit product cost (a) ÷ (b)	\$500 50 \$10

Exercise 2-4 (15 minutes)

a.	Raw Materials Accounts Payable	86,000	86,000
b.	Work in Process Manufacturing Overhead Raw Materials	72,000 12,000	84,000
C.	Work in Process Manufacturing Overhead Wages Payable	105,000 3,000	108,000
d.	Manufacturing Overhead Various Accounts	197,000	197,000

Exercise 2-5 (20 minutes)

Parts 1 and 2.

Cash			Raw Mate	erials	
(a)	75,000_	(a)	75,000	(b)	73,000
(c)	152,000	Bal.	2,000		
(d)	126,000				

	Work i	n Pr	ocess		Finished (3000	ds
(b)	67,000			<u>(f)</u>	379,000	(f)	379,000
(c)	134,000			Bal.	0		
<u>(e)</u>	178,000	(f)	379,000				
Bal.	0						

Manufacturing Overhead				
(b)	6,000 18,000	(e)	178,000	
(c)	18,000			
(d)	126,000			
(g)	28,000			
Bal.	0			

Cost of Goods Sold			
(f)	379,000	(g)	28,000
Bal.	351,000		

Exercise 2-6 (20 minutes)

1	. Cost of Goods Manufactured Direct materials:		
	Raw materials inventory, beginning	\$24,000	
	Add: Purchases of raw materials	53,000	
	Total raw materials available	77,000	
	Deduct: Raw materials inventory, ending	6,000	
	Raw materials used in production	71,000	
	Deduct: Indirect materials included in	•	
	manufacturing overhead	8,000	\$ 63,000
	Direct labor		62,000
	Manufacturing overhead applied to work in		-
	process inventory		41,000
	Total manufacturing costs		166,000
	Add: Beginning work in process inventory		41,000
			207,000
	Deduct: Ending work in process inventory		<u>38,000</u>
	Cost of goods manufactured		<u>\$169,000</u>
2	. Cost of Goods Sold		
	Finished goods inventory beginning	¢ ልዩ በበበ	

Finished goods inventory, beginning	\$ 86,000
Add: Cost of goods manufactured	<u>169,000</u>
Cost of goods available for sale	255,000
Deduct: Finished goods inventory, ending	<u>93,000</u>
Unadjusted cost of goods sold	162,000
Add: Underapplied overhead	<u>000,8</u>
Adjusted cost of goods sold	<u>\$170,000</u>

Exercise 2-7 (10 minutes)

1. Actual direct	labor-hours (a)	8,250
Predetermine	ed overhead rate (b)	\$21.40
Manufacturin	g overhead applied (a) \times (b)	\$176,550
	facturing overhead cost	\$172,500
	ufacturing overhead applied	<u>176,550</u>
Manufacturin	g overhead overapplied	<u>\$ (4,050)</u>

2. Because manufacturing overhead is overapplied, the cost of goods sold would decrease by \$4,050 and the gross margin would increase by \$4,050.

Exercise 2-8 (30 minutes)

2.	Cost of Goods Manufactured Direct materials: Raw materials inventory, beginning	132,000 140,000 10,000	130,000 90,000 210,000 430,000 5,000 435,000 20,000 \$415,000
	Add: Cost of goods manufactured	415,000 485,000 25,000 460,000 10,000	
3.	Eccles Company		
	Income Statement		
	Sales Cost of goods sold (\$460,000 + \$10,000) Gross margin Selling and administrative expenses:	47	43,000 <u>70,000</u> 73,000
	·	100 000	

Net operating income

 Selling expenses
 \$100,000

 Administrative expense
 43,000

143,000

\$ 30,000

[©] The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

Exercise 2-9 (10 minutes)

Yes, overhead should be applied to value the Work in Process inventory at year-end.

Because \$15,000 of overhead was applied to Job X on the basis of \$10,000 of direct labor cost, the company's predetermined overhead rate must be 150% of direct labor cost.

Job Q direct labor cost (a)	\$8,000
Predetermined overhead rate (b)	150%
Manufacturing overhead applied to Job Q (a) \times (b)	\$12,000

Exercise 2-10 (10 minutes)

Direct material	\$12,000
Direct labor	8,000
Manufacturing overhead applied:	
\$8,000 × 120%	<u>9,600</u>
Total manufacturing cost	<u>\$29,600</u>
Unit product cost:	
\$29,600 ÷ 200 units	\$148

Exercise 2-11 (30 minutes)

1. a. Raw Materials Accounts Pay	Inventory			0,000	210,000
_	os Overhead Is Inventory		3	2,000 8,000	190,000
	ss Overhead Wages Payable .		2	9,000 1,000	70,000
d. Manufacturing Accumulated	Overhead Depreciation			5,000	105,000
e. Manufacturing Accounts Pay	Overhead yable			0,000	130,000
f. Work in Proces Manufacturir			30	0,000 \$300,00	300,000 00.
g. Finished Good Work in Proc	s ess		51 	0,000	510,000
h. Cost of Goods				0,000	
Accounts Rece	ods vivable		67	5,000	450,000
	5 = \$675,000.				675,000
				_	
2. Manufacturin				n Proces	
(b) 38,000	(f) 300,000	Bal.	35,000	(9)	510,000
(c) 21,000 (d) 105,000		(b) (c)	152,000 49,000		
(e) 130,000		(f)	300,000		
(=) ===,300	6,000	Bal.	26,000		
	(Overapplied		,	ı	
	overhead)				

Exercise 2-12 (20 minutes)

1. The estimated total manufacturing overhead cost is computed as follows:

 $Y = $750,000 + $4.00 \text{ per MH} \times 150,000 \text{ MHs}$

Estimated fixed manufacturing overhead	\$ 750,000
Estimated variable manufacturing overhead	
\$4.00 per MH × 150,000 MHs	600,000
Estimated total manufacturing overhead cost	\$1,350,000

The predetermined overhead rate is computed as follows:

Estimated total manufacturing overhead (a)	\$1,350,000	
Estimated total machine-hours (MHs) (b)	150,000	MHs
Predetermined overhead rate (a) ÷ (b)	\$9.00	per MH

2. Total manufacturing cost assigned to Job 500:

Direct materials	\$350
Direct labor	230
Manufacturing overhead applied	
\$9.00 per MH × 30 MHs	<u>270</u>
Total manufacturing cost	\$850

3. Computing underapplied/overapplied overhead:

Actual machine-hours (a)	147,000
Predetermined overhead rate (b)	\$9.00
Manufacturing overhead applied (a) \times (b)	\$1,323,000
Actual manufacturing overhead	\$1,325,000
Deduct: Manufacturing overhead applied	1,323,000
Underapplied overhead	<u>\$ 2,000</u>

The closing entry would increase cost of goods sold by \$2,000 and decrease net operating income by \$2,000.

Exercise 2-13 (15 minutes)

1. Actual manufacturing overhead costs Deduct: Manufacturing overhead applied:	\$48,000	
10,000 MH × \$5 per MH	<u>50,000</u>	
Overapplied overhead cost	<u>\$ (2,000)</u>	
2. Direct materials:		
Raw materials inventory, beginning	\$ 8,000	
Add: Purchases of raw materials	32,000	
Raw materials available for use	40,000	
Deduct: Raw materials inventory, ending	7,000	
Raw materials used in production		\$ 33,000
Direct labor		40,000
Manufacturing overhead cost applied to		•
work in process		50,000
Total manufacturing cost		123,000
Add: Work in process, beginning		6,000
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		129,000
Deduct: Work in process, ending		7,500
Cost of goods manufactured		\$121,500

Exercise 2-14 (30 minutes)

Note to the instructor: This exercise is a good vehicle for introducing the concept of predetermined overhead rates.

1. High activity level (First quarter) Low activity level (Third quarter) Change	<i>Units Produced</i> 80,000 20,000 60,000	Manufacturing Overhead \$228,000 192,000 \$36,000
Variable cost = Change in cost ÷ Char = \$36,000 ÷ 60,000 unit = \$0.60 per unit produce	ts	
Total cost (First quarter) Variable cost element (\$0.60 per unit Fixed cost element	× 80,000 unit	s). <u>48,000</u>
These fixed and variable cost estimate total manufacturing overhead cost for $Y = \$180,000 + (\$0.60 permanent)$	the fourth qu	arter as follows:
Estimated fixed manufacturing overhous Estimated variable manufacturing over \$0.60 per unit × 60,000 units	ead erhead	\$180,000 <u>36,000</u>
Estimated total manufacturing overhe		<u>\$216,000</u>
Total manufacturing cost and unit pro Direct materials		\$180,000
Direct labor		· · · · · ·
Manufacturing overhead		•
Total manufacturing costs (a)		
Number of units to be produced (b)		
Unit product cost (a) \div (b)		\$7.80

Exercise 2-14 (continued)

- 2. The fixed portion of the manufacturing overhead cost is causing the unit product costs to fluctuate. The unit product cost increases as the level of production decreases because fixed overhead is being spread over fewer units.
- 3. The unit product cost can be stabilized by using a predetermined overhead rate that is based on expected activity for the entire year. The cost formula created in requirement 1 can be adapted to compute the annual predetermined overhead rate. The annual fixed manufacturing overhead is \$720,000 (\$180,000 per quarter × 4 quarters). The variable manufacturing overhead per unit is \$0.60. The cost formula is as follows:

 $Y = $720,000 + $0.60 \text{ per unit} \times 200,000 \text{ units}$

Estimated fixed manufacturing overhead	\$720,000
Estimated variable manufacturing overhead	
\$0.60 per unit × 200,000 units	120,000
Estimated total manufacturing overhead cost	\$840,000

The annual predetermined overhead rate is computed as follows:

The predetermined overhead rate of \$4.20 would be used throughout the entire year, thereby eliminating the impact of seasonal variations in demand on unit product costs.

Exercise 2-15 (15 minutes)

1. Milling Department:

The estimated total manufacturing overhead cost in the Milling Department is computed as follows:

Y = \$390,000 + ((\$2.00 p	oer MH)(60	(HM 000,
-------------------	-----------	------------	----------

Estimated fixed manufacturing overhead	\$390,000
Estimated variable manufacturing overhead	
\$2.00 per MH × 60,000 MHs	120,000

The predetermined overhead rate is computed as follows:

Estimated total manufacturing overhead (a)	\$510,000	
Estimated total machine-hours (b)	60,000	MHs
Predetermined overhead rate (a) ÷ (b)	\$8.50	per MH
According to the second		

Assembly Department:

The estimated total manufacturing overhead cost in the Assembly Department is computed as follows:

$$Y = $500,000 + ($3.75 per DLH)(80,000 DLH)$$

Estimated fixed manufacturing overhead	\$500,000
Estimated variable manufacturing overhead	
\$3.75 per DLH × 80,000 DLHs	300,000
Estimated total manufacturing overhead cost	<u>\$800,000</u>

The predetermined overhead rate is computed as follows:

Estimated total manufacturing overhead (a)	\$800,000	
Estimated total direct labor-hours (b)	80,000	DLHs
Predetermined overhead rate (a) \div (b)	\$10.00	per DLH

Exercise 2-15 (continued)

3. Yes; if some jobs require a large amount of machine time and a small amount of labor time, they would be charged substantially less overhead cost if a plantwide rate based on direct labor hours were used. It appears, for example, that this would be true of Job 407 which required considerable machine time to complete, but required a relatively small amount of labor hours.

Exercise 2-16 (10 minutes)

1. Item (a): Actual manufacturing overhead costs for the year.

Item (b): Overhead cost applied to work in process for the year.

Item (c): Cost of goods manufactured for the year.

Item (d): Cost of goods sold for the year.

Exercise 2-17 (30 minutes)

1. The predetermined overhead rate is computed as follows:

 $Y = $106,250 + $0.75 \text{ per MH} \times 85,000 \text{ MHs}$

The predetermined overhead rate is computed as follows:

2. The amount of overhead cost applied to Work in Process for the year would be: 80,000 machine-hours × \$2.00 per machine-hour = \$160,000. This amount is shown in entry (a) below:

	Manufactu	ring	Overhead
(Utilities)	14,000	(a)	160,000
(Insurance)	9,000		
(Maintenance)	33,000		
(Indirect materials)	7,000		
(Indirect labor)	65,000		
(Depreciation)	40,000		
Balance	8,000		

		Work i	n Process
(Direct materials)	_	530,000	
(Direct labor)		85,000	
(Overhead)	(a)	160,000	

3. Overhead is underapplied by \$8,000 for the year, as shown in the Manufacturing Overhead account above. The entry to close out this balance to Cost of Goods Sold would be:

Exercise 2-17 (continued)

4. When overhead is applied using a predetermined rate based on machine-hours, it is assumed that overhead cost is proportional to machine-hours. When the actual level of activity turns out to be 80,000 machine-hours, the costing system assumes that the overhead will be 80,000 machine-hours × \$2.00 per machine-hour, or \$160,000. This is a drop of \$10,000 from the initial estimated total manufacturing overhead cost of \$170,000. However, the actual total manufacturing overhead did not drop by this much. The actual total manufacturing overhead was \$168,000—a drop of only \$2,000 from the estimate. The manufacturing overhead did not decline by the full \$10,000 because of the existence of fixed costs and/or because overhead spending was not under control. These issues will be covered in more detail in later chapters.

Exercise 2-18 (45 minutes)

1 a. The estimated total manufacturing overhead cost is computed as follows:

1 b and 1 c. Total manufacturing cost assigned to Jobs D-75 and C-100:

Predetermined overhead rate (a) ÷ (b)

		D-75		C-100
Direct materials	\$	700,000	\$	550,000
Direct labor		360,000		400,000
Manufacturing overhead applied				
(\$27.00 per MH \times 20,000 MHs;				
\$27.00 per MH × 30,000 MHs)		<u>540,000</u>		810,000
Total manufacturing cost	<u>\$1</u>	<u>,600,000</u>	<u>\$1</u>	<u>,760,000</u>

Bid prices for Jobs D-75 and C-100:

	D-75	C-100
Total manufacturing cost (a)	\$1,600,000	\$1,760,000
Markup percentage (b)	150%	150%
Bid price (a) × (b)	\$2,400,000	\$2,640,000

1 d. Because the company has no beginning or ending inventories and only Jobs D-75 and C-100 were started, completed, and sold during the year, the cost of goods sold is equal to the sum of the manufacturing costs assigned to both jobs of \$3,360,000 (= \$1,600,000 + \$1,760,000).

\$27.00 per MH

Exercise 2-18 (continued)

2 a. Molding Department:

The estimated total manufacturing overhead cost in the Molding Department is computed as follows:

Y =	\$800,000	+ \$5.00	per MH ×	20,000 MH
-----	-----------	----------	----------	-----------

Estimated fixed manufacturing overhead	\$800,000
Estimated variable manufacturing overhead	
\$5.00 per MH × 20,000 MHs	100,000
Estimated total manufacturing overhead cost	<u>\$900,000</u>

The predetermined overhead rate is computed as follows:

Estimated total manufacturing overhead (a)	\$900,000	
Estimated total machine-hours (b)	20,000	MHs
Predetermined overhead rate (a) ÷ (b)	\$45.00	per MH

Fabrication Department:

The estimated total manufacturing overhead cost in the Fabrication Department is computed as follows:

$$Y = $300,000 + $5.00 \text{ per MH} \times 30,000 \text{ MH}$$

Estimated fixed manufacturing overhead	\$300,000
Estimated variable manufacturing overhead	
\$5.00 per MH × 30,000 MHs	150,000
Estimated total manufacturing overhead cost	\$450,000

The predetermined overhead rate is computed as follows:

Estimated total manufacturing overhead (a)	\$450,000	
Estimated total direct labor-hours (b)	30,000	MHs
Predetermined overhead rate (a) ÷ (b)	\$15.00	per MH

Exercise 2-18 (continued)

2b and 2c. Total manufacturing costs assigned to Jobs D-75 and C-100:

	D-75	C-100
Direct materials	\$700,000	\$550,000
Direct labor	360,000	400,000
Molding Department		
$(15,000 \text{ MHs} \times $45 \text{ per MH};$		
5,000 MHs × \$45 per MH)	675,000	225,000
Fabrication Department		
$(5,000 \text{ MH} \times $15 \text{ per MH};$		
25,000 MH × \$15 per MH)	75,000	375,000
Total manufacturing cost	<u>\$1,810,000</u>	<u>\$1,550,000</u>
Bid prices for Jobs D-75 and C-100:		
	D-75	<i>C-100</i>
Total manufacturing cost (a)	\$1,810,00	0 \$1,550,000
Markup percentage (b)	150%	6 150%
Bid price (a) × (b)	\$2,715,00	0 \$2,325,000

- 2 d. Because the company has no beginning or ending inventories and only Jobs D-75 and C-100 were started, completed, and sold during the year, the cost of goods sold is equal to the sum of the manufacturing costs assigned to both jobs \$3,360,000 (= \$1,810,000 + \$1,550,000).
- 3. The plantwide and departmental approaches produce identical cost of goods sold figures. However, these two approaches lead to different bid prices for Jobs D-75 and C-100. The bid price for Job D-75 using the departmental approach is \$315,000 higher than the bid price using the plantwide approach. This is because the departmental cost pools reflect the fact that Job D-75 is an intensive user of Molding machine-hours. The overhead rate in Molding (\$45) is three times higher than the overhead rate in Fabrication (\$15). Conversely, Job C-100 is an intensive user of the less-expensive Fabrication machine-hours, so its departmental bid price is \$315,000 lower than the plantwide bid price.

Exercise 2-18 (continued)

Whether a job-order costing system has only one plantwide overhead cost pool or numerous departmental overhead cost pools does not usually have an important impact on the accuracy of the cost of goods sold reported for the company as a whole. However, it can have a huge impact on internal decisions with respect to individual jobs, such as establishing bid prices for those jobs. Job-order costing systems that rely on one plantwide overhead cost pool are commonly used to value ending inventories and cost of goods sold for external reporting purposes, but they can create costing inaccuracies for individual jobs that adversely influence internal decision making.

Exercise 2-19 (30 minutes)

1.	a.	Raw MaterialsAccounts Payable	315,000	315,000
	b.	Work in Process Manufacturing Overhead Raw Materials	216,000 54,000	270,000
	C.	Work in Process Manufacturing Overhead Wages and Salaries Payable	80,000 110,000	190,000
	d.	Manufacturing Overhead	63,000	63,000
	e.	Manufacturing Overhead Accounts Payable	85,000	85,000
	f.	Work in Process Manufacturing Overhead	300,000	300,000

 $\frac{\text{Predetermined}}{\text{overhead rate}} = \frac{\text{Estimated total manufacturing overhead cost}}{\text{Estimated total amount of the allocation base}}$

$$=\frac{$4,320,000}{576,000 \text{ machine-hours}} = $7.50 \text{ per machine-hour}$$

 $40,000 \text{ MHs} \times \$7.50 \text{ per MH} = \$300,000.$

Manufacturing Overhead			Work in Process			
(b)	54,000	(f)	300,000	(b)	216,000	_
(c)	110,000			(c)	80,000	
(d)	63,000			(f)	300,000	
(e)	85,000					

3. The cost of the completed job would be \$596,000 as shown in the Work in Process T-account above. The entry for item (g) would be:

Finished Goods	596,000	
Work in Process	-	596,000

4. The unit product cost on the job cost sheet would be: $\$596,000 \div 8,000$ units = \$74.50 per unit.

[©] The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

Exercise 2-20 (30 minutes)

1. Since \$320,000 of studio overhead cost was applied to Work in Process on the basis of \$200,000 of direct staff costs, the apparent predetermined overhead rate was 160%:

 $\frac{\text{Studio overhead applied}}{\text{Total amount of the allocation base}} = \frac{\$320,000}{\$200,000 \text{ direct staff costs}}$ = 160% of direct staff costs

2. The Krimmer Corporation Headquarters project is the only job remaining in Work in Process at the end of the month; therefore, the entire \$40,000 balance in the Work in Process account at that point must apply to it. Recognizing that the predetermined overhead rate is 160% of direct staff costs, the following computation can be made:

With this information, we can now complete the job cost sheet for the Krimmer Corporation Headquarters project:

Costs of subcontracted work	\$ 4,900
Direct staff costs	13,500
Studio overhead	21,600
Total cost to January 31	\$40,000

Problem 2-21A (30 minutes)

1. The predetermined overhead rate was:

	·
	$Y = $1,275,000 + $3.00 per hour \times 85,000 hours$
	Estimated fixed manufacturing overhead \$1,275,000 Estimated variable manufacturing overhead
	$\$3.00$ per computer hour \times 85,000 hours
	The predetermined overhead rate is computed as follows:
	Estimated total manufacturing overhead (a) $\$1,530,000$ Estimated total computer hours (b)
2.	Actual manufacturing overhead cost
	hours \times \$18 per computer hour
3.	Cost of Goods Sold
	This entry will decrease net operating income.

Problem 2-22A (30 minutes)

1.	Cost of Goods Manufactured		
	Direct materials:		
	Raw materials inventory, beginning*		
	Add: Purchases of raw materials*		
	Total raw materials available	•	
	Deduct: Raw materials inventory, ending*	•	
	Raw materials used in production		\$270,000
	Direct labor	•	65,000
	Manufacturing overhead applied to work in		
	process inventory*		340,000
	Total manufacturing costs*		675,000
	Add: Beginning work in process inventory	•	48,000
	B. I. I. F. IV		723,000
	Deduct: Ending work in process inventory*		33,000
	Cost of goods manufactured	•	<u>\$690,000</u>
2	Cost of Goods Sold		
۷.	Finished goods inventory, beginning*	. \$ 30,00	20
	Add: Cost of goods manufactured		
	Cost of goods available for sale*	•	
	Deduct: Finished goods inventory, ending		
	Unadjusted cost of goods sold*		
	Add: Underapplied overhead		
	Adjusted cost of goods sold		
	, agustea eost or goods sold illillillillillillillillillillillillill	<u> </u>	<u>50</u>
3.			
	Valenko Company		
	Income Statement		
	Salas		¢1 00E 000
	Sales		\$1,085,000
	Cost of goods sold (\$665,000 + \$10,000)		<u>675,000</u>
	Gross margin		410,000
	Selling and administrative expenses:	#21 F 000	
		\$215,000	275 000
	Administrative expense*	<u>160,000</u>	375,000
	Net operating income*		<u>\$ 35,000</u>
¥	ti van		

^{*} Given

Problem 2-23A (45 minutes)

1. The cost of raw materials put into production was:

Raw materials inventory, 1/1	\$ 30,000
Debits (purchases of materials)	420,000
Materials available for use	450,000
Raw materials inventory, 12/31	60,000
Materials requisitioned for production	\$390,000

2. Of the \$390,000 in materials requisitioned for production, \$320,000 was debited to Work in Process as direct materials. Therefore, the difference of \$70,000 (\$390,000 – \$320,000 = \$70,000) would have been debited to Manufacturing Overhead as indirect materials.

3. Total factory wages accrued during the year (credits to the Factory Wages Payable account).. \$175,000 Less direct labor cost (from Work in Process)...... 110,000 \$65,000

- 4. The cost of goods manufactured for the year was \$810,000—the credits to Work in Process.
- 5. The Cost of Goods Sold for the year was:

Finished goods inventory, 1/1	\$ 40,000
Add: Cost of goods manufactured (from Work in Process).	810,000
Cost of goods available for sale	850,000
Deduct: Finished goods inventory, 12/31	130,000
Cost of goods sold	<u>\$720,000</u>

6. The predetermined overhead rate was:

Predetermined overhead rate =
$$\frac{\text{Manufacturing overhead cost applied}}{\text{Direct materials cost}}$$
$$= \frac{\$400,000}{\$320,000} = 125\% \text{ of direct materials cost}$$

Problem 2-23A (continued)

7. Manufacturing overhead was overapplied by \$15,000, computed as follows:

Actual manufacturing overhead cost for the year (debits)	\$385,000
Applied manufacturing overhead cost (from Work in	
Process—this would be the credits to the	
Manufacturing Overhead account)	400,000
Overapplied overhead	\$(15,000)

8. The ending balance in Work in Process is \$90,000. Direct labor makes up \$18,000 of this balance, and manufacturing overhead makes up \$40,000. The computations are:

Balance, Work in Process, 12/31	\$90,000
Less: Direct materials cost (given)	(32,000)
Manufacturing overhead cost	
(\$32,000 × 125%)	<u>(40,000</u>)
Direct labor cost (remainder)	\$18,000

Problem 2-24A (60 minutes)

1. a.

Predetermined overhead rate = Estimated total manufacturing overhead cost Estimated total amount of the allocation base

 $=\frac{\$126,000}{\$84,000 \text{ direct labor cost}}=150\% \text{ of direct labor cost}$

b. Actual manufacturing overhead costs:

Indirect labor Property taxes Maintenance	7,000
Property taxes Maintenance	18,000
Maintenance	12,000
Maintenance	9,000
Death lead little	11,000
Rent, building	<u>36,000</u>
Total actual costs 12	23,000
Applied manufacturing overhead costs:	
\$80,000 × 150% <u>12</u>	<u>20,000</u>
Underapplied overhead <u>\$</u>	3,000

2.

Pacific Manufacturing Company Schedule of Cost of Goods Manufactured

Direct materials:

Raw materials inventory, beginning	\$ 21,000	
Add: Purchases of raw materials	133,000	
Total raw materials available	154,000	
Deduct: Raw materials inventory, ending	<u> 16,000</u>	
Raw materials used in production		\$138,000
Direct labor		80,000
Manufacturing overhead applied to work in		
process		120,000
Total manufacturing cost		338,000
Add: Work in process, beginning		44,000
		382,000
Deduct: Work in process, ending		40,000
Cost of goods manufactured		<u>\$342,000</u>

[©] The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

Problem 2-24A (continued)

3. Unadjusted cost of goods sold:

or origination cost or goods sold.	
Finished goods inventory, beginning	\$ 68,000
Add: Cost of goods manufactured	342,000
Cost of goods available for sale	410,000
Deduct: Finished goods inventory, ending	60,000
Unadjusted cost of goods sold	\$350,000
4. Direct materials	\$ 3,200

 4. Direct materials
 \$ 3,200

 Direct labor
 4,200

 Overhead applied (150% × \$4,200)
 6,300

 Total manufacturing cost
 \$13,700

 $$13,700 \times 140\% = $19,180$ price to customer.

5. The amount of overhead cost in Work in Process was:

 $$8,000 \text{ direct labor cost} \times 150\% = $12,000$

The amount of direct materials cost in Work in Process was:

lotal ending work in process	\$40,000
Deduct:	
Direct labor \$ 8,000	
Manufacturing overhead 12,000	20,000
Direct materials	\$20,000

The completed schedule of costs in Work in Process was:

Direct materials	\$20,000
Direct labor	8,000
Manufacturing overhead	12,000
Work in process inventory	<u>\$40,000</u>

Problem 2-25A (120 minutes)

1.	а.	Raw MaterialsAccounts Payable	142,000	142,000
	b.	Work in ProcessRaw Materials	150,000	150,000
	C.	Manufacturing Overhead	21,000	21,000
	d.	Work in Process Manufacturing Overhead Salaries Expense Salaries and Wages Payable	216,000 90,000 145,000	451,000
	e.	Manufacturing Overhead	15,000	15,000
	f.	Advertising ExpenseAccounts Payable	130,000	130,000
	g.	Manufacturing Overhead Depreciation Expense Accumulated Depreciation	45,000 5,000	50,000
	h.	Manufacturing Overhead Rent Expense Accounts Payable	72,000 18,000	90,000
	i.	Miscellaneous ExpenseAccounts Payable	17,000	17,000
	j.	Work in Process	240,000	240,000
		Estimated total manufacturing overhea	ad cost _	\$248,000
		Estimated direct materials cost		\$155,000
			=	160% of direct materials cost.

150,000 direct materials cost \times 160% = 240,000 applied.

[©] The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

Problem 2-25A (continued)

	-	Process.			590,000	590,	000
I.	Accounts Re				1,000,000	1 000	000
	Sales Cost of Good				600,000	1,000,	000
					000,000	600,	000
_							
2.	Accounts	Dosoivah	lo		Daw Ma	torials	
<u>/1\</u>	Accounts	Receivan	ne	Dal	Raw Ma		150,000
(l)	1,000,000			Bal.	18,000 ((D)	150,000
				<u>(a)</u>	142,000		
				Bal.	10,000		
	Work in	Process			Finished	Goods	
Bal.	24,000		590,000	Bal.	35,000 (600,000
(b)	150,000	()		(k)	590,000	(-)	
(d)	216,000				,		
(j)	240,000						
Bal.	40,000			Bal.	25,000		
	Manufacturi	na Overh	nead		Accounts	Pavable	
(c)	21,000		240,000			(a)	142,000
(d)	90,000	O)	210,000			(c)	21,000
(e)	15,000					(e)	15,000
(g)	45,000					(f)	130,000
(h)	72,000					(h)	90,000
Bal.	3,000					(i)	17,000
	Accumulated	Deprecia	ation		Depreciation	n Expen	se
		(g)	50,000	(g)	5,000	п Ехреп	
		ド ゴノ	55,000	(3)	2,000		
	Salaries & Wages Payable			Salaries E	Expense		
		(d)	451,000	(d)	145,000		
	Miscellanec	us Exper	nse		Advertising	Expens	se
(i)	17,000			(f)	130,000		

[©] The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

Problem 2-25A (continued)

	Rent Expense	e	Cos	st of Goods So	old
(h)	18,000		(I) 60	00,000	
	Sales (I)	1,000,000			
3.	Sched	Southwor	th Company f Goods Manu	ıfactured	
R A M Dire Mai pi Tot Ado	ect materials: aw materials inven dd: Purchases of ra laterials available for educt: Raw material laterials used in pro- ect labor nufacturing overhe rocess cal manufacturing of d: Work in process, duct: Work in process, st of goods manufa	aw materials or use als inventory, oduction ad applied to sost beginning	ending work in	<u>142,000</u> <u>160,000</u> <u>10,000</u> 	\$150,000 216,000 <u>240,000</u> 606,000 <u>24,000</u> 630,000 <u>40,000</u> \$590,000
4.	st of Goods Sold Manufacturing Ove	erhead		3,000	3,000
Fi A C D U A	nedule of cost of goinished goods inverted: Cost of goods availated goods: Finished goods availated: Finished goods: Underapplied of goods: Underapplied of goods:	ntory, beginni manufactured ble for sale ods inventory goods sold overhead	, ending	\$ 35,000 <u>590,000</u> 625,000 <u>25,000</u> 600,000 <u>3,000</u> \$603,000	

Problem 2-25A (continued)

5.

Southworth Company Income Statement

	THOME Statement		
	Sales Cost of goods sold		\$1,000,000 <u>603,000</u> 397,000
	Selling and administrative expenses: Salaries expense	000	
	Advertising expense		
		000	
	Rent expense 18,0	000	
	Miscellaneous expense 17,0	<u>)00</u>	315,000
	Net operating income		\$ 82,000
6.	Direct materials Direct labor (400 hours × \$11 per hour) Manufacturing overhead cost applied (160% × \$3,600 Total manufacturing cost Add markup (75% × \$13,760) Total billed price of Job 218))	\$ 3,600 4,400 <u>5,760</u> 13,760 <u>10,320</u> \$24,080
	Total bilica price of 30b 210		<u>ΨΔΠ,000</u>
	\$24,080 ÷ 500 units = \$48.16 per unit.		

[©] The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

Problem 2-26A (30 minutes)

1. Preparation Department:

The estimated total manufacturing overhead cost in the Preparation Department is computed as follows:

Y =	\$256,00	00 + 9	\$2.00	per MH	×	80,000	MH
-----	----------	--------	--------	--------	---	--------	----

Estimated fixed manufacturing overhead	\$256,000
Estimated variable manufacturing overhead:	
\$2.00 per MH × 80,000 MHs	160,000
Estimated total manufacturing overhead cost	<u>\$416,000</u>

The predetermined overhead rate is computed as follows:

Estimated total manufacturing overhead (a)	\$416,000	
Estimated total machine-hours (b)	80,000	MHs
Predetermined overhead rate (a) ÷ (b)	\$5.20	per MH

Fabrication Department:

The estimated total manufacturing overhead cost in the Fabrication Department is computed as follows:

$$Y = $520,000 + $4.00 \text{ per DLH} \times 50,000 \text{ DLH}$$

Estimated fixed manufacturing overhead	\$520,000
Estimated variable manufacturing overhead:	
\$4.00 per DLH × 50,000 DLHs	200,000
Estimated total manufacturing overhead cost	\$720,000

The predetermined overhead rate is computed as follows:

Estimated total manufacturing overhead (a)	\$720,000	
Estimated total machine-hours (b)	50,000	DLHs
Predetermined overhead rate (a) ÷ (b)	\$14.40	per DLH

Problem 2-26A (continued)

2. Preparation Department over 350 machine-hours × \$5.2 Fabrication Department over 130 direct labor-hours × \$ Total overhead cost	20 per machir rhead applied 514.40 per lat	ne-hour \$1 d: por-hour <u>1</u>	•
3. Total cost of Job 127:			
	Preparation	Fabrication	Total
Direct materials	\$ 940	\$1,200	\$2,140
Direct labor	710	980	1,690
Manufacturing overhead	1,820	<u>1,872</u>	3,692
Total cost	<u>\$3,470</u>	<u>\$4,052</u>	<u>\$7,522</u>
Unit product cost for Job 12	7:		
Total manufacturing cost (a)	\$7,52	22
Number of units in the job (b)	2	25 units

Unit product cost (a) ÷ (b)......

4.

	Preparation	Fabrication
Manufacturing overhead cost incurred	\$390,000	\$740,000
Manufacturing overhead cost applied:		
73,000 machine-hours \times \$5.20 per		
machine-hour	379,600	
54,000 direct labor-hours \times \$14.40		
per direct labor-hour		<u>777,600</u>
Underapplied (or overapplied) overhead	<u>\$ 10,400</u>	<u>\$ (37,600</u>)

\$300.88 per unit

[©] The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

Problem 2-27A (45 minutes)

1. a. Raw Materials Accounts Payable	160,000	160,000
b. Work in Process Manufacturing Overhead Raw Materials	120,000 20,000	140,000
c. Work in Process	90,000 60,000 20,000 50,000	220,000
d. Manufacturing Overhead Insurance Expense Prepaid Insurance	13,000 5,000	18,000
e. Manufacturing Overhead Accounts Payable	10,000	10,000
f. Advertising ExpenseAccounts Payable	15,000	15,000
g. Manufacturing Overhead Depreciation Expense Accumulated Depreciation	20,000 5,000	25,000
h. Work in Process Manufacturing Overhead	110,000	110,000
Estimated total manufacturing overhead cost Estimated total amount of the allocation base $=\frac{1}{2}$	£99,000 45,000 MHs	=£2.20 per MH
50,000 actual MHs \times £2.20 per MH = £110,000	overhead a	pplied.

[©] The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

Problem 2-27A (continued)

i. F	Finished Goods	310,000	310,000
j. A	Accounts ReceivableSales	498,000	498,000
C	Cost of Goods Sold Finished Goods	308,000	308,000

2.

	Raw Mate	erials		Work in	Process	
Bal.	10,000	(b) 140,00	0 Bal.	4,000	(i)	310,000
(a)	160,000		(b)	120,000		
			(c)	90,000		
			(h)	110,000		
Bal.	30,000		Bal.	14,000		

Finished Goods				
Bal.	8,000 (j) 310,000	308,000		
(i)	310,000			
Bal.	10,000			

	Manufacturir	ng Ov	erhead
(b)	20,000	(h)	110,000
(c)	60,000		
(d)	13,000		
(e)	10,000		
(g)	20,000		
Bal.	13,000		

Cost of Goods Sold			
(j)	308,000		

3. Manufacturing overhead is underapplied by £13,000 for the year. The entry to close this balance to Cost of Goods Sold would be:

Cost of Goods Sold	13,000	
Manufacturing Overhead		13,000

Problem 2-27A (continued)

4.

Sovereign Millwork, Ltd. Income Statement For the Year Ended June 30

Sales		£498,000
Cost of goods sold (£308,000 + £13,000)		321,000
Gross margin		177,000
Selling and administrative expenses:		
Sales commissions	£20,000	
Administrative salaries	50,000	
Insurance expense	5,000	
Advertising expenses	15,000	
Depreciation expense	<u>5,000</u>	<u>95,000</u>
Net operating income		£ 82,000

Problem 2-28A (60 minutes)

1. and 2.

	Ca	ash			Accounts I	Receivat	ole
Bal.	15,000	(c)	225,000	Bal.	40,000	(l)	445,000
(l)	445,000	(m)	150,000	(k)	450,000		
Bal.	85,000			Bal.	45,000		
	Raw M	laterials			Work in	Process	<u> </u>
Bal.	25,000	(b)	90,000	Bal.		(j)	310,000
(a)	80,000			(b)	85,000		
				(c)	120,000		
				<u>(i)</u>	96,000		
Bal.	15,000			Bal.	21,000		
	Finishe	d Goods			Prepaid I	nsuranc	
Bal.	45,000	(k)	300,000	Bal.	5,000	(f)	4,800
(j)	310,000						
Bal.	55,000			Bal.	200		
	Buildings 8	<u>LEquipm</u>	nent	A	ccumulated	Depreci	ation
Bal.	500,000					Bal.	210,000
						(e)	30,000
						Bal.	240,000
	Manufacturi	ng Over			Accounts	Payable	e
(b)	5,000	(i)*	96,000	(m)	150,000	Bal.	75,000
(c)	30,000					(a)	80,000
(d)	12,000					(d)	12,000
(e)	25,000					(g)	40,000
(f)	4,000					(h)	17,000
(h)	17,000						
		Bal.	3,000			Bal.	74,000
	\$80,000 _						
		80% of	direct labor	cost; \$1	$20,000 \times 0.$.80 = \$9	96,000.
	\$100,000						
	Retained	l Earning	js		Commo	n Stock	
		Bal.	125,000			Bal.	250,000
		•	•			•	•

Problem 2-28A (continued)

	Salaries Expense		Depreciation Expense			
(c)	75,000	(e)	5,000			
	Insurance Expense		Shipping Exper	ise		
(f)	800	(g)	40,000			
	Cost of Goods Sold		Sales			
(k)	300,000		(k)	450,000		

3. Manufacturing overhead was overapplied by \$3,000 for the year. The journal entry would be recorded as follows:

4.

Fantastic Props, Inc. Income Statement For the Year Ended December 31

Sales		\$450,000
Cost of goods sold $(\$300,000 - \$3,000)$		<u>297,000</u>
Gross margin		153,000
Selling and administrative expenses:		
Salaries expense	\$75,000	
Depreciation expense	5,000	
Insurance expense	800	
Shipping expense		120,800
Net operating income		\$ 32,200

Case (60 minutes)

1. a.

 $\frac{\text{Predetermined}}{\text{overhead rate}} = \frac{\text{Estimated total manufacturing overhead cost}}{\text{Estimated total amount of the allocation base}}$ $= \frac{\$1,440,000}{\$900,000 \text{ direct labor cost}} = 160\% \text{ of direct labor cost}$

b. $$21,200 \times 160\% = $33,920$.

2. a.

	Cutting	Machining	Assembly
	Department	Department	Department
Estimated manufacturing overhead cost (a) Estimated direct labor	\$540,000	\$800,000	\$100,000
cost (b)	\$300,000	\$200,000	\$400,000
Predetermined overhead rate (a) ÷ (b)	180%	400%	25%
b.			
Cutting Department: \$6,500 × 180%		\$11,700	
Machining Department: \$1,700 × 400%		6,800	
Assembly Department: \$13,000 × 25%		3,250	
Total applied overhead		<u>\$21,750</u>	

3. The bulk of the labor cost on the Hastings job is in the Assembly Department, which incurs very little overhead cost. The department has an overhead rate of only 25% of direct labor cost as compared to much higher rates in the other two departments. Therefore, as shown above, use of departmental overhead rates results in a relatively small amount of overhead cost charged to the job.

Case (continued)

However, use of a plantwide overhead rate in effect redistributes overhead costs proportionately between the three departments (at 160% of direct labor cost) and results in a large amount of overhead cost being charged to the Hastings job, as shown in Part 1. This may explain why the company bid too high and lost the job. Too much overhead cost was assigned to the job for the kind of work being done on the job in the plant.

If a plantwide overhead rate is being used, the company will tend to charge too little overhead cost to jobs that require a large amount of labor in the Cutting or Machining Departments. The reason is that the plantwide overhead rate (160%) is much lower than the rates if these departments were considered separately.

4. The company's bid price was:

Direct materials	\$	18,500
Direct labor		21,200
Manufacturing overhead applied (above)	_	33,920
Total manufacturing cost		73,620
Bidding rate		× 1.5
Total bid price	\$1	110,430

If departmental overhead rates had been used, the bid price would have been:

Direct materials	\$ 18,500
Direct labor	21,200
Manufacturing overhead applied (above)	<u>21,750</u>
Total manufacturing cost	61,450
Bidding rate	<u>× 1.5</u>
Total bid price	\$ 92,175

Note that if departmental overhead rates had been used, Lenko Products would have been the low bidder on the Hastings job since the competitor underbid Lenko by only \$10,000.

Case (continued)

5. a.

Actual overhead cost	\$1	,482,000
Applied overhead cost ($\$870,000 \times 160\%$)	1	,392,000
Underapplied overhead cost	\$	90,000

b.

	1			
	Cutting	Machining	Assembly	Total Plant
Actual overhead cost	\$560,000	\$830,000	\$92,000	\$1,482,000
Applied overhead cost:				
\$320,000 × 180%	576,000			
\$210,000 × 400%		840,000		
\$340,000 × 25%			<u>85,000</u>	1,501,000
Underapplied (overapplied)				
overhead cost	<u>\$(16,000</u>)	<u>\$(10,000</u>)	<u>\$ 7,000</u>	<u>\$ (19,000</u>)

Ethics Challenge (45 minutes)

- 1. Shaving 5% off the estimated direct labor-hours in the predetermined overhead rate will result in an artificially high overhead rate, which is likely to result in overapplied overhead for the year. The cumulative effect of overapplying the overhead throughout the year is all recognized in December when the balance in the Manufacturing Overhead account is closed out to Cost of Goods Sold. If the balance were closed out every month or every quarter, this effect would be dissipated over the course of the year.
- 2. This question may generate lively debate. Where should Cristin Madsen's loyalties lie? Is she working for the general manager of the division or for the corporate controller? Is there anything wrong with the "Christmas bonus"? How far should Cristin go in bucking her boss on a new job?

While individuals can certainly disagree about what Cristin should do, some of the facts are indisputable. First, the practice of understating direct labor-hours results in artificially inflating the overhead rate. This has the effect of inflating the cost of goods sold figures in all months prior to December and overstating the costs of inventories. In December, the adjustment for overapplied overhead provides a big boost to net operating income. Therefore, the practice results in distortions in the pattern of net operating income over the year. In addition, since all of the adjustment is taken to Cost of Goods Sold, inventories are still overstated at year-end. This means that retained earnings is also overstated.

While Cristin is in an extremely difficult position, her responsibilities under the IMA's Statement of Ethical Professional Practice seem to be clear. The Credibility standard states that management accountants have a responsibility to "disclose all relevant information that could reasonably be expected to influence an intended user's understanding of the reports, analyses, or recommendations." Cristin should discuss this situation with her immediate supervisor in the controller's office at corporate headquarters. This step may bring her into direct conflict with the general manager of the division, so it would be a very difficult decision for her to make.

Ethics Challenge (continued)

In the actual situation that this case is based on, the corporate controller's staff were aware of the general manager's accounting tricks, but top management of the company supported the general manager because "he comes through with the results" and could be relied on to hit the annual profit targets for his division. Personally, we would be very uncomfortable supporting a manager who will resort to deliberate distortions to achieve "results." If the manager will pull tricks in this area, what else might he be doing that is questionable or even perhaps illegal?

Teamwork in Action

1. The types of transactions that are posted to the accounts may be summarized in T-account form as follows:

Raw Materials					
Beginning balance					
Purchases	Direct materials used (to Work in				
	Process)				
Accounts	s Payable				
	Beginning balance				
Payments to suppliers	Purchases of raw materials				
	_				
Work in	Process				
Beginning balance					
Direct materials used (from Raw	Cost of goods manufactured (to				
Materials)	Finished Goods)				
Direct labor					
Manufacturing overhead applied					
Manufacturi	ng Overhead				
Actual manufacturing costs	Manufacturing overhead applied				
Overhead overapplied (to COGS)	Overhead underapplied (to COGS)				
Finishe	d Goods				
Beginning balance					
Cost of goods manufactured (from	Cost of goods sold				
WIP)					
,	•				
Cost of Goods Sold					
Cost of goods sold					
Overhead underapplied (from	Overhead overapplied (from				
Manufacturing Overhead)	Manufacturing Overhead)				

[©] The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

Teamwork in Action (continued)

2. The predetermined overhead rate and overhead applied amounts are:

Predetermined overhead rate:

 $$180,000 \div 60,000 \text{ DLHs} = $3 \text{ per DLH}.$

Overhead applied:

 $5,200 \text{ DLHs} \times \$3 \text{ per DLH} = \$15,600$

3. The balance in the work in process account is determined as follows:

Direct materials (given)	\$2,600
Direct labor (300 DLHs × \$6 per DLH)	1,800
Overhead applied (300 DLHs × \$3 per DLH)	900
Total	\$5,300

4. The completed T-accounts follow:

Accounts Payable

(c)	Payments	40,000	(c)	(c) Balance 4/1	
			(plug)	Purchases	42,000
			(given)	Balance 4/30	8,000

Work in Process

	V V				
(given)	Balance 4/1	4,500	(f)	Cost of goods manufactured	89,000
(b,d)	Direct labor*	31,200			
(above)	Overhead applied	15,600			
(plug)	Direct materials	43,000			
(above)	Balance 4/30	5,300			

^{*} $5,200 \text{ DLHs} \times \$6 \text{ per DLH} = \$31,200$

Raw Materials

(given)	Balance 4/1	12,000	(above)	Direct materials	43,000
(above)	Purchases	42,000			
	Balance 4/30	11,000			

Teamwork in Action (continued)

Manufacturing Overhead 15,600 Actual costs for 14,800 (above) Overhead (given) April applied To cost of 800 Overapplied 800 goods sold overhead Finished Goods Balance 4/1 11,000 Cost of goods (e) (plug) 84,000 sold (f) Cost of goods 89,000 manufactured Balance 4/30 16,000 (given)

		Cost of C	Goods Sold		
(above)	Cost of goods sold	84,000	(above)	Overapplied overhead	800
		83,200			

Communicating in Practice

Date: Current date To: Instructor

From: Student's Name

Subject: Talk with a Controller

The student's memorandum should address the following:

- The name, title, and job affiliation of the individual interviewed. (Note: Not specifically required in problem but essential and, as such, a good topic for class discussion, if appropriate.)
- A list of the company's main products.
- Identification of the type of costing system in use (job-order, process, or other).
- Brief description of how overhead is assigned to products (including basis for allocation and whether more than one overhead rate is in use).
- Indication as to whether any changes have been made to or are being considered in relation to the company's costing system, and, if applicable, a brief description of the changes.

Chapter 2 Take Two Solutions

Exercise 2-1 (10 minutes)

The estimated total manufacturing overhead cost is computed as follows:

$$Y = $466,000 + ($3.00 per DLH)(50,000 DLHs)$$

Estimated fixed manufacturing overhead	\$466,000
Estimated variable manufacturing overhead:	
\$3.00 per DLH × 50,000 DLHs	150,000
Estimated total manufacturing overhead cost	<u>\$616,000</u>

The predetermined overhead rate is computed as follows:

Estimated total manufacturing overhead (a)	\$616,000	
Estimated total direct labor hours (DLHs) (b)	50,000	DLHs
= Predetermined overhead rate (a) ÷ (b)	\$12.32	per DLH

Note to Instructors: Ask students why this overhead rate (\$12.32) is lower than the overhead rate in the original data set (\$14.65). The "take two" rate is lower because the fixed overhead is being spread over more direct labor-hours.

Exercise 2-2 (10 minutes)

Actual direct labor-hours (a)	12,600
Predetermined overhead rate (b)	\$23.10
Manufacturing overhead applied (a) \times (b)	\$291,060

Note to Instructors: Use the "take two" data to emphasize the point that the manufacturing overhead applied to jobs is unaffected by the actual manufacturing overhead costs incurred.

Exercise 2-3 (10 minutes)

1.	Total direct labor-hours required for Job	A-200:
	Direct labor cost (a)	\$120 \$12 10
	Total manufacturing cost assigned to Jo	b A-200:
	Direct materials Direct labor Manufacturing overhead applied (\$24 per DLH × 10 DLHs) Total manufacturing cost	\$200 120 <u>240</u> <u>\$560</u>
2.	Unit product cost for Job A-200:	
	Total manufacturing cost (a) Number of units in the job (b) Unit product cost (a) \div (b)	\$560 50 \$11.20

Exercise 2-6 (20 minutes)

1. Cost of Goods Manufactured Direct materials: Raw materials inventory, beginning..... \$24,000 Add: Purchases of raw materials..... <u>53,000</u> 77,000 Total raw materials available 25,000 Deduct: Raw materials inventory, ending Raw materials used in production 52,000 Deduct: Indirect materials included in manufacturing overhead..... 8,000 \$ 44,000 Direct labor..... 62,000 Manufacturing overhead applied to work in process inventory..... 41,000 147,000 Total manufacturing costs..... Add: Beginning work in process inventory....... 41,000 188,000 Deduct: Ending work in process inventory 43,000 Cost of goods manufactured \$145,000 Cost of Goods Sold Finished goods inventory, beginning..... \$ 86,000 Add: Cost of goods manufactured 145,000 Cost of goods available for sale..... 231,000 Deduct: Finished goods inventory, ending....... 93,000 Unadjusted cost of goods sold 138,000 Add: Underapplied overhead...... 8,000

Note to Instructors: Using the "take two" data, ask students to calculate the cost of goods manufactured and cost of goods sold without preparing any schedules. They should see that there is a \$24,000 increase in ending inventories and this will decrease cost of goods manufactured and cost of goods sold by \$24,000. Given that the cost of goods manufactured and cost of goods sold in the original scenario were \$169,000 and \$170,000, respectively, the corresponding amounts in the "take two" scenario are \$145,000 and \$146,000, respectively.

Adjusted cost of goods sold

<u>\$146,000</u>

[©] The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

Exercise 2-7 (10 minutes)

1. The underapplied overhead is computed as follows:

Actual direct labor-hours (a)	8,250
Predetermined overhead rate (b)	<u>\$21.40</u>
Manufacturing overhead applied (a) \times (b)	\$176,550
Deduct: Manufacturing overhead incurred	<u>178,000</u>
Underapplied manufacturing overhead	<u>\$ 1,450</u>

2. Because manufacturing overhead is underapplied, the cost of goods sold would increase by \$1,450 and the gross margin would decrease by \$1,450.

Note to Instructors: Students often erroneously believe that if the actual quantity of the allocation base exceeds the denominator volume, then manufacturing overhead must be overapplied. The "take two" data is purposely intended to dispel this notion.

Exercise 2-8 (30 minutes)

1	Direct materials: Raw materials inventory, beginning	\$ 8,000 132,000 140,000 8,000	\$132,000 90,000 210,000 432,000 5,000 437,000 16,000 \$421,000
2	Cost of Goods Sold Finished goods inventory, beginning	\$ 70,000 <u>421,000</u> 491,000 <u>25,000</u> 466,000 <u>10,000</u> <u>\$476,000</u>	
3.	Eccles Company Income Statement		
	Sales Cost of goods sold (\$466,000 + \$10,000) Gross margin Selling and administrative expenses:	47	13,000 7 <u>6,000</u> 57,000

Net operating income

 Selling expenses
 \$100,000

 Administrative expense
 43,000

143,000

\$ 24,000

[©] The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

Exercise 2-8 (30 minutes)

Note to Instructors: Using the "take two" data, ask students to calculate the net operating income without preparing any schedules. They should see that there is a \$6,000 decrease in ending inventories. This will increase cost of goods sold by \$6,000 and decrease net operating income by \$6,000. Given that the net operating income in the original scenario was \$30,000, the "take two" scenario has a net operating income of \$24,000.

Exercise 2-9 (10 minutes)

Yes, overhead should be applied to value the Work in Process inventory at year-end.

Because \$15,000 of overhead was applied to Job X on the basis of \$5,000 of direct labor cost, the company's predetermined overhead rate must be 300% of direct labor cost.

Job Q direct labor cost (a)	\$8,000
Predetermined overhead rate (b)	300%
Manufacturing overhead applied to Job Q (a) \times (b)	\$24,000

Exercise 2-10 (10 minutes)

Direct material	\$12,000
Direct labor	10,000
Manufacturing overhead applied:	
\$10,000 × 120%	12,000
Total manufacturing cost	<u>\$34,000</u>
Unit product cost:	
\$34,000 ÷ 200 units	\$170

Note to Instructors: In instances such as this, students often struggle to understand that changing the direct labor charged to the job also influences the amount of manufacturing overhead applied to the job.

Exercise 2-12 (20 minutes)

1. The estimated total manufacturing overhead cost is computed as follows:

Y = 9	5750,000	+ \$4.00	per MH \times	120,000 MHs
-------	----------	----------	-----------------	-------------

Estimated fixed manufacturing overhead	\$ 750,000
Estimated variable manufacturing overhead	
\$4.00 per MH × 120,000 MHs	480,000
Estimated total manufacturing overhead cost	

The predetermined overhead rate is computed as follows:

Estimated total manufacturing overhead (a)	\$1,230,000	
Estimated total machine-hours (MHs) (b)	120,000	MHs
Predetermined overhead rate (a) ÷ (b)	\$10.25	per MH

2. Total manufacturing cost assigned to Job 500:

Direct materials	\$350.00
Direct labor	230.00
Manufacturing overhead applied	
\$10.25 per MH × 30 MHs	<u>307.50</u>
Total manufacturing cost	\$887.50

3. Computing underapplied/overapplied overhead:

Actual machine-hours (a)	147,000
Predetermined overhead rate (b)	\$10.25
Manufacturing overhead applied (a) \times (b)	
Actual manufacturing overhead	\$1,325,000
Manufacturing overhead applied	<u>1,506,750</u>
Overapplied overhead	<u>\$ (181,750)</u>

The closing entry would decrease cost of goods sold by \$181,750 and increase net operating income by \$181,750.

Note to Instructors: Comparing the "take two" results to the original results enables you to discuss the concept of a death spiral. When the

[©] The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

Exercise 2-12 (continued)

denominator volume drops and fixed overhead remains unchanged, the predetermined overhead rate increases. This increases the amount of overhead applied to all jobs. If Kody uses cost-plus pricing, the price assigned to all jobs will increase. If some customers reject Kody's higher prices and take their business elsewhere, the denominator volume will continue to decline and the predetermined overhead rate will continue to climb; thereby, initiating a death spiral.

Exercise 2-13 (15 minutes)

1.	Actual manufacturing overhead costs Manufacturing overhead applied:	\$48,000	
	10,000 MH × \$5 per MH	50,000	
	Overapplied overhead cost	\$ (2,000)	
2.	Direct materials:		
	Raw materials inventory, beginning	\$ 8,000	
	Add: Purchases of raw materials	35,000	
	Raw materials available for use	43,000	
	Deduct: Raw materials inventory, ending	7,000	
	Raw materials used in production		\$ 36,000
	Direct labor		40,000
	Manufacturing overhead cost applied to work		,
	in process		50,000
	Total manufacturing cost		126,000
	Add: Work in process, beginning		6,000
	, , ,		132,000
	Deduct: Work in process, ending		7,500
	Cost of goods manufactured		\$124,500

Note to Instructors: Using the "take two" data, ask students to calculate the cost of goods manufactured without preparing the corresponding schedule. They should see that, if all else holds constant, a \$3,000 increase in the purchase of raw materials creates a \$3,000 increase in the cost of goods manufactured. Given that the cost of goods manufactured in the original data set is \$121,500, the cost of goods manufactured in the "take two" scenario is \$124,500.

Exercise 2-17 (30 minutes)

1. The predetermined overhead rate is computed as follows:

 $Y = $106,250 + $0.80 \text{ per MH} \times 85,000 \text{ MHs}$

The predetermined overhead rate is computed as follows:

2. The amount of overhead cost applied to Work in Process for the year would be: 80,000 machine-hours × \$2.05 per machine-hour = \$164,000. This amount is shown in entry (a) below:

	Manufactu	ring	Overhead
(Utilities)	14,000	(a)	164,000
(Insurance)	9,000		
(Maintenance)	33,000		
(Indirect materials)	7,000		
(Indirect labor)	65,000		
(Depreciation)	40,000		
Balance	4,000		

		Work in Process	
(Direct materials)	_	530,000	
(Direct labor)		85,000	
(Overhead)	(a)	164,000	

3. Overhead is underapplied by \$4,000 for the year, as shown in the Manufacturing Overhead account above. The entry to close out this balance to Cost of Goods Sold would be:

Solutions Manual for Introduction to Managerial Accounting 6th Edition by Brewer

Full Download: http://downloadlink.org/product/solutions-manual-for-introduction-to-managerial-accounting-6th-edition-by-brew

Exercise 2-17 (continued)

4. When overhead is applied using a predetermined rate based on machine-hours, it is assumed that overhead cost is proportional to machine-hours. When the actual level of activity turns out to be 80,000 machine-hours, the costing system assumes that the overhead will be 80,000 machine-hours × \$2.05 per machine-hour, or \$164,000. This is a drop of \$6,000 from the initial estimated total manufacturing overhead cost of \$170,000. However, the actual total manufacturing overhead did not drop by this much. The actual total manufacturing overhead was \$168,000—a drop of only \$2,000 from the estimate. The manufacturing overhead did not decline by the full \$6,000 because of the existence of fixed costs and/or because overhead spending was not under control. These issues will be covered in more detail in later chapters.