Full Download: https://downloadlink.org/p/solutions-manual-for-analysis-synthesis-and-design-of-chemical-processes-4th-edition-

# Chapter 3

3.1. What is a flowshop plant?

A flowshop plant is a plant in which several batch products are produced using all or a subset of the same equipment and in which the operations for each batch follow the same sequence. Thus the flow of any batch through equipment A, B, C, D ... is always  $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow D \rightarrow ...$  Omissions of equipment are possible but no reversal in direction is allowed.

3.2. What is a jobshop plant?

A flowshop plant is a plant in which several batch products are produced using all or a subset of the same equipment but for which the operations of at least one batch product do not follow the same sequence, e.g.,  $A \rightarrow C \rightarrow D \rightarrow B$ 

- 3.3. What are the two main methods for sequencing multiproduct processes? Either use multi-product campaigns or multiple single-product campaigns.
- 3.4. Give one advantage and one disadvantage of using single-product campaigns in a multiproduct plant.

<u>Advantage</u> – sequencing of single-product campaigns is relatively simple and repetitive and probably less prone to operator error since the batch recipe remains the same over the entire campaign.

<u>Disadvantage</u> – significant final product storage will be required since all products will not be made all the time and in order to even out supply some inventory of products will have to be maintained in storage. Single-product campaigns may be less efficient than multi-product campaigns.

3.5. What is the difference between a zero-wait and a uis process?

A zero-wait process is one in which the batch is transferred immediately from the current piece of equipment to the next piece of equipment in the recipe sequence. This type of process eliminates the need for intermediate storage (storage of unfinished products or intermediates).

A uis (unlimited intermediate storage) process is one in which any amount of any intermediate product may be stored. Such a process maximizes the use of the processing equipment but obviously requires an unlimited amount of storage.

3.6 Number of batches of A is twice that for B or C – repeat Example 3.3 with this restriction using a 500 h cycle time.

Table E3.3: Equipment times needed to produce A, B, and C

Product	Time in Mixer	Time in Reactor	Time in Separator	Time in Packaging
A	1.5	1.5	2.5	2.5
В	1.0	2.5	4.5	1.5
С	1.0	4.5	3.5	2.0


Using Equation (3.6) with  $t_{cycle,A} = 2.5$ ,  $t_{cycle,B} = 4.5$ , and  $t_{cycle,C} = 4.5$ 

If x is the number of batches of Products B and C, then 2x is the number of batches of Product A

$$T = 500 = 2x(2.5) + x(4.5 + 4.5) \Rightarrow x = \frac{500}{14} = 35.7$$

Number of batches for each product are A = 70, B=35, C=35

3.7 For Examples 3.3 and 3.4, determine the number of batches that can be produced in a month (500 h) using a multi-product campaign strategy with the sequence ACBACBACB. Are there any other sequences for this problem other than the one used in Example 3.4 and the one used here?



The multi-product cycle time = 2.5 + 2.0 + 3.5 + 4.5 = 12.5 h

Number of batches per month = (500)/(12.5) = 40 each of A, B, and C

The only sequences that can be used for multi-product campaigns are ABCABCABC (Example 3.4) and ACBACBACB as used here.

## 3.8 Consider the multi-product batch plant described in Table P3.8

Table P3.8: Equipment Processing Times for Processes A, B, and C

Process	Mixer	Reactor	Separator
A	2.0 h	5.0 h	4.0 h
В	3.0 h	4.0 h	3.5 h
С	1.0 h	3.0 h	4.5 h

It is required to produce the same number of batches of each product. Determine the number of batches that can be produced in a 500 h operating period using the following strategies:


(a) using single-product campaigns for each product

Using Equation (3.6) with  $t_{cycle,A} = 5.0$ ,  $t_{cycle,B} = 4.0$ , and  $t_{cycle,C} = 4.5$ 

$$T = 500 = x(5.0 + 4.0 + 4.5) \Rightarrow x = \frac{500}{13.5} = 37.0$$

$$x = 37$$
 batches

(b) using a multi-product campaign using the sequence ABCABCABC...




From this diagram we see that the cycle time for the multi-product campaign using the sequence ABC is 12.5 h.

Therefore, the number of batches, x, of each product that can be made during a 500 h period is given by:

$$T = 500 = 12.5x \Rightarrow x = \frac{500}{12.5} = 40$$

$$x = 40$$
 batches

(c) using a multi-product campaign using the sequence CBACBACBA...



From this diagram we see that the cycle time for the multi-product campaign using the sequence ABC is 13.5 h.

Therefore, the number of batches, x, of product that can be made during a 500 h period is given by:

$$T = 500 = 13.5x \Rightarrow x = \frac{500}{13.5} = 37.0$$

x = 37 batches

3.9 Consider the process given in Problem 3.8. Assuming that a single-product campaign strategy is repeated every 500 h operating period and further assuming that the production rate (for a year = 6,000 h) for products A, B, C are 18,000 kg/y, 24,000 kg/y, and 30,000 kg/y, respectively, determine the minimum volume of product storage required. Assume that the product densities of A, B, and C are 1100, 1200, and 1000 kg/m³, respectively

The tables below shows the results using data given from Problem 8

Rate	Product A	Product B	Product C
Volume (m <sup>3</sup> ) of product required per month	18,000/12/1,100 = 1.36	24,000/12/1,20 0 = 1.67	30,000/12/1,000 = 2.5
Cycle time (h)	5.0	4.0	4.5
Production rate, $r_p$ (m <sup>3</sup> /h)	(1.36)/(37)(5) = 0.007371	(1.67)/(37)(4) = 0.01126	(2.5)/(37)(4.5) = 0.015015
Demand rate, $r_d$ (m <sup>3</sup> /h)	(1.36)/(500) = 0.002727	0.003333	0.005

Product	Campaign time,	$r_p$ - $r_d$	Minimum volume of
	$t_{camp}$	$(m^3/h)$	product storage, $V_s$
	(h)		$(m^3)$
Δ.	(27)(5) - 195	0.007371 - 0.00273 =	(0.004644)(185) =
A	(37)(5) = 185	0.004644	0.859
В	(27)(4) - 149	0.01126 - 0.003333 =	(0.007928)(148) =
Б	(37)(4) = 148	0.007928	1.173
С	(37)(4.5) - 166.5	0.015015 - 0.005 =	(0.010015)(166.5) =
	(37)(4.5) = 166.5	0.010015	1.668

Table P3.10A: Production rates for A, B, and C

Product	Product Yearly production	
A	150,000 kg	12,500 kg
В	210,000 kg	17,500 kg
С	360,000 kg	30,000 kg

Table P3.10B: Specific Reactor/Mixer Volumes for Processes A, B, and C

Process	A	В	С
$v_{react}$ (m <sup>3</sup> /kg-product)	0.0073	0.0095	0.0047
$t_{cycle}$ (h)	6.0	9.5	18.5

Let the single-product campaign times for the three products be  $t_A$ ,  $t_B$ , and  $t_C$ , respectively.

Applying Equation (3.6), the following relationship is obtained:

$$t_A + t_B + t_C = 500 (3.9)$$

The number of campaigns per product is then given by  $t_x/t_{cycle,x}$  and

batch size (kg/batch) = 
$$\frac{\text{production of x}}{t_x / t_{cycle,x}}$$
 (3.10)

Furthermore, the volume of a batch is found by multiplying Equation (3.10) by  $v_{reac,x}$ , and equating batch volumes for the different products yields:

Volume of batch = 
$$\frac{\text{(production of x)}(v_{react,x})}{t_x / t_{cycle,x}}$$
 (3.11)

$$\frac{(12,500)(.0073)}{t_A/6.0} = \frac{(17,500)(.0095)}{t_B/9.5} = \frac{(30,000)(.0047)}{t_C/18.5}$$
(3.12)

Solving Equations (3.9) and (3.12), yields:

$$t_A = 57.8 h$$

$$t_B = 166.8 h$$

$$t_C = 275.4 h$$

$$v_{react,A} = v_{react,B} = v_{react,C} = 9.47 \text{ m}^3$$

#batches per campaign for product A =  $t_A / 6.0 = 9.6$ #batches per campaign for product B =  $t_B / 9.5 = 17.6$ #batches per campaign for product C =  $t_C / 18.5 = 14.9$ 

Clearly the number of batches should be an integer value. Rounding these numbers yields

For product A Number of batches = 10  $t_A = (10)(6.0) = 60 \text{ h}$  $V_A = (12,500)(0.0073)/(10) = 9.13 \text{ m}^3$ 

For product B Number of batches = 17  $t_B = (17)(9.5) = 161.5 \text{ h}$  $V_B = (17,500)(0.0095)/(17) = 9.78 \text{ m}^3$ 

For product C Number of batches = 15  $t_C = (15)(18.5) = 277.5 \text{ h}$  $V_C = (30,000)(0.0047)/(15) = 9.40 \text{ m}^3$ 

Total time for production cycle = 499 h  $\sim$  500 h Volume of reactor = 9.78 m<sup>3</sup> (limiting condition for Product B) 3.11

Table P3.11: Batch step times (in hours) for Reactor and Bacteria Filter for Project 8 in Appendix B

Product	Reactor*	Precoating of	Filtration	Mass	Ratio of
		Bacteria	of	produced	product,s
		Filter	Bacteria	per batch,	
				kg	
L-aspartic Acid	40	25	5	1020	1
L-phenylalanine	70	25	5	716	1.25

<sup>\*</sup>includes 5 h for filling, cleaning, and heating plus 5 hours for emptying

### (a) let

 $t_A$  = campaign time for L-aspartic acid

 $t_P$  = campaign time for L-phenylalanine

Assuming equal recovery ratios for each amino acid we have

$$t_A + t_P = 8000$$

$$\frac{(716)(t_P)}{(70)} = 1.25 \frac{(1020)(t_A)}{(40)}$$

Solving we get

 $t_A = 1944 \text{ h}$ 

 $t_P = 6056 \text{ h}$ 

yearly production of L-aspartic acid = (1944)(1020)/(40) = 49,560 kgyearly production of L-phenylalanine = (6056)(716)/(70) = 61,950 kg

Number of batches per year for L-aspartic acid = (1944)/(40) = 48

Number of batches per year for L-phenylalanine = (6056)/(70) = 86

(b) For each product calculate the average yearly demand and production rate in m³/h and then find the storage needed for each product

Rate	L-aspartic acid	L-phenylalanine
Volume (m <sup>3</sup> ) of product	(49,560)(0.9)/(1,200) =	(61,950)(0.9)/(1,200) =
required per year	37.17	46.46
Cycle time (h)	40	70
Campaign time (h)	1944	6056
Production rate, $r_p$ (m <sup>3</sup> /h)	(37.17)/(1944) = 0.019125	(46.46)/(6056) = 0.0076714
Demand rate, $r_d$ (m <sup>3</sup> /h)	(37.17)/(8,000) = 0.004646	(46.46)/(8000) = 0.005808
$r_p - r_d \ (\text{m}^3/\text{h})$	0.014479	0.001864
Storage Volume (m <sup>3</sup> )	(0.014479)(1944) = <b>28.14</b>	(0.001864)(6056) = 11.29

## (c) Rework part (b) using a 1 month cycle time = 8,000/12 = 666.67 h

Assuming equal recovery ratios for each amino acid we have

$$t_A + t_P = 666.67$$

$$\frac{(716)(t_P)}{(70)} = 1.25 \frac{(1020)(t_A)}{(40)}$$

Solving we get

$$t_A = 162 \text{ h} \text{ and } t_P = 504.7 \text{ h}$$

monthly production of L-aspartic acid = (4)(1020) = 4,080 kgmonthly production of L-phenylalanine = (7)(716) = 5,012 kg

Number of batches per month for L-aspartic acid = (162)/(40) = 4

Number of batches per month for L-phenylalanine = (504.7)/(70) = 7

Note that these are rounded down so that integer numbers are given per month this gives rise to a slightly lower production rate per year than before.

Rate	L-aspartic acid	L-phenylalanine	
Volume (m <sup>3</sup> ) of product required per month	(4,080)(0.9)/(1,200) = 3.06	(5,012)(0.9)/(1,200) = 3.76	
Cycle time (h)	40	70	
Campaign time (h)	160	490	
Production rate, $r_p$ (m <sup>3</sup> /h)	(3.06)/(160) = 0.019125	(3.76)/(490) = 0.0076714	
Demand rate, $r_d$ (m <sup>3</sup> /h)	(3.06)/(666.7) = 0.00459	(3.76)/(666.7) = 0.005638	
$r_p$ - $r_d$ (m <sup>3</sup> /h)	0.014535	0.002033	
Storage Volume (m <sup>3</sup> )	(0.014535)(160) = 2.33	(0.002033)(490) = 1.00	

These values are (not surprisingly) approximately 1/12 of the previous results

3.12

(a) Referring to Project B.8, Figures B.8.2 and B.8.3 and using batch reaction times for L-aspartic acid and L-phenylalanine of 25 and 55 h, respectively. We get the following information:

Conversion of L-aspartic acid = 42% (84% of equilibrium) (base case = 45%) Exit concentration of L-phenylalanine =  $18.5 \text{ kg/m}^3$  (base case = 21%)

Product	Reactor*	Precoating	Filtration	Mass produced	Ratio of
		of Bacteria	of Bacteria	per batch, kg	products
		Filter			
L-aspartic Acid	35	25	5	(42/45)(1020) =	1
				952	
L-phenylalanine	65	25	5	(18.5/21)(716) =	1.25
				630.8	

let

 $t_A$  = campaign time for L-aspartic acid

 $t_P$  = campaign time for L-phenylalanine

Assuming equal recovery ratios for each amino acid we have

$$t_A + t_P = 8000$$

$$\frac{(630.8)(t_P)}{(65)} = 1.25 \frac{(952)(t_A)}{(35)}$$

Solving we get

 $t_A = 1776 \text{ h}$ 

 $t_P = 6224 \text{ h}$ 

yearly production of L-aspartic acid = (1776)(952)/(35) = 48,316 kg yearly production of L-phenylalanine = (6224)(630.8)/(65) = 60,395 kg

Number of batches per year for L-aspartic acid = (1776)/(35) = 50 or 51 Number of batches per year for L-phenylalanine = (6224)/(65) = 95 or 96

Therefore, the number of batches increases but the yearly production decreases

(b) Referring to Project B.8, Figures B.8.2 and B.8.3 and using batch reaction times for L-aspartic acid and L-phenylalanine of 35 and 65 h, respectively. We get the following information:

Conversion of L-aspartic acid = 47% (94% of equilibrium) (base case = 45%) Exit concentration of L-phenylalanine =  $21.5 \text{ kg/m}^3$  (base case = 21%)

Product	Reactor*	Precoating	Filtration	Mass produced	Ratio of
		of Bacteria	of Bacteria	per batch, kg	products
		Filter			
L-aspartic Acid	45	25	5	(47/45)(1020) =	1
				1065	
L-phenylalanine	75	25	5	(21.5/21)(716) =	1.25
				733	

let

 $t_A$  = campaign time for L-aspartic acid

 $t_P$  = campaign time for L-phenylalanine

Assuming equal recovery ratios for each amino acid we have

$$t_A + t_P = 8000$$

$$\frac{(733)(t_P)}{(75)} = 1.25 \frac{(1065)(t_A)}{(45)}$$

Solving we get

 $t_A = 1986 \text{ h}$ 


 $t_P = 6014 \text{ h}$ 

yearly production of L-aspartic acid = (1986)(1065)/(45) = 47,002 kgyearly production of L-phenylalanine = (6014)(733)/(75) = 58,777 kg

Number of batches per year for L-aspartic acid = (1986)/(45) = 44

Number of batches per year for L-phenylalanine = (6014)/(75) = 80

Therefore, the number of batches decreases and the yearly production decreases – plot the results from problems 11 and 12



This shows that the base case conditions are close to optimal.

### 3.13

a. Let x be the number of batches of A in a 600-h period.

$$t_{cycle,A} = 5.0 \text{ h}$$
  
 $t_{cycle,B} = 5.5 \text{ h}$   
 $t_{cycle,C} = 5.0 \text{ h}$   
 $5.0x + 5.5(2x) + 5.0(3x) = 600 \text{ h}$   
 $\Rightarrow x = 600/(5 + 11 + 15) = 600/31 = 19.4 \text{ batches}$   
 $x = 19 - \text{rounded down (but one could round up)}$ 

b. Demand in one month of C is 180,000/12 = 15,000 kg/month

#batches = 57, 
$$t_{cycle,C}$$
 = 5.0 h  $\Rightarrow$  (5)(57) = 285 h/month operating time production rate = 15,000/285 = 52.63 kg/h (52.63 kg/h)/(850 kg/m³) = 0.06192 m³/h demand rate = 15,000/850/600 = 0.02941 m³/h 
$$V_{storage} = (0.06192-0.02941 \text{ m³/h})(285 \text{ h}) = 9.27 \text{ m³}$$

c. Crystallizer is limiting piece of equipment

$$\Rightarrow t_{cycle} = 4.5 + 5.5 + 5.5 + 5.0 + 5.0 + 5.0 = 30.5 \text{ h}$$
# repeats =  $600/30.5 = 19.7$ 
# batches same as part a

Full Download: https://downloadlink.org/p/solutions-manual-for-analysis-synthesis-and-design-of-chemical-processes-4th-edition

### 3.14

a. 
$$n_B = n_C = 2n_A = n$$

$$t_{cycle,A} = 3.5 \text{ h}$$

$$t_{cycle,B} = 4.0 \text{ h}$$

$$t_{cycle,C} = 3.0 \text{ h}$$

$$\Rightarrow$$
 0.5 $n$ (3.5) + 4 $n$  + 3 $n$  = 600 h


$$n(1.75 + 4 + 3) = 600$$

n = 68.6 batches – round to 69

$$n_B = n_C = 69$$
 batches

$$n_A = 34$$
 batches

b. ABBCCABBCC – as shown on figure - crystallizer is limiting



$$t_{cycle}$$
 = ABBCC = 3.5 + (4.0 + 4.0) + (3.0 + 3.0) = 17.5

$$n(17.5) = 600$$

$$n = 34.3$$

$$n_B = n_C = 69$$
 batches

$$n_A = 34$$
 batches

c. 
$$2n(3.5) + 4n + 3n = 600$$

$$n = 600/14 = 42.9$$

$$n_B = n_C = 43$$
 batches

$$n_A = 85$$
 or 86 batches

d. 
$$r_d = 17.5/600 = 0.02917 \text{ m}^3/\text{h}$$

$$r_p = 17.5/[(4)(69)] = 0.06341 \text{ m}^3/\text{h}$$
  
 $t_{campaign} = 4(69) = 276 \text{ h}$ 

$$V_{S,B} = (r_p - r_d)t_{campaign} = (0.06341-0.02917)(276)$$

$$V_{S,B} = 9.45 \text{ m}^3$$